



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/649,717   | 08/28/2003          | Noriko Tamura        | Q77150                  | 6175             |
| 23373 75   | 90 08/28/2006       |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC<br>2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                     |                      | LA, NICHOLAS T          |                  |
| SUITE 800  | LVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037                                 |                     |                      | 2617                    |                  |
|  |                     |                      | DATE MAILED, 09/29/2000 | ,                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.                     | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/649,717                          | TAMURA, NORIKO               |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                            | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |
|   |   | Nicholas T. La                      | 2617                         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> .  |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 4)🖾   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 5)  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
|   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requirement.               |                              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 9) 🔲 -  | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.                                  |                              |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).            |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| Attachment  | rie)  |                                     |                              |  |  |  |
| _   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary                | (PTO-413)                    |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail D                  | ate                          |  |  |  |
|   | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:                           | Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/649,717

Art Unit: 2617

## **Detail Action**

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

## Elections/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 12, 13, 19-20, drawn to remote programming control, classified in class 455, subclass 419.
- II. Claims 9-11, drawn to privacy, lock out, and authentication, classified in class 455, subclass 411.
- III. Claim 14-18, drawn to message storage or retrieval, classified in class 455, subclass 412:1.

Inventions I, II, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, invention I claims a mobile telephone apparatus is being remotely controlled to download materials from the Internet (in this case, the mobile is being remotely control to perform a task). Invention II claims a mobile telephone apparatus with capability to determining whether or not a remote access mode password included in a password item, therefore the mobile performs only after it is determine there is a remote access mode password included in the password item, hence the authentication process is a critical part of the mobile

Application/Control Number: 10/649,717

Art Unit: 2617

functioning, which does not present in anywhere in the other two subcombinations. Invention III claims a method to requesting through an access control server to a mobile telephone apparatus and resulting in the mobile retrieving and transmitting personal information through an access control server to a request client (in this case, the mobile is acting as a database). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to the applicant attorney, Mr. Howard Bernstein on 08/11/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Application/Control Number: 10/649,717

Art Unit: 2617

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas T. La whose telephone number is (571)-272-8075. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nicholas La 08/11/2006

Twichles I

LESTER G. KINCAID

Page 4